|   | Luepke 1985   | Luepke and Kemper (1986)  | Kalweit et al. (1987)  | Reinhardt et al. (1987)                                | Kalweit et al. (1990)  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Application of Solids to CAM            | Placed directly on CAM  | Placed directly on CAM  | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | All tested substances appear to be solubilized         | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   |
| Preferred Solvent                       | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application? | Yes, 20 seconds after test substance applied rinsed with 5 mL warm water                                    | Yes, 20 seconds after test substance applied rinsed with 5 mL warm water                                    |  |  | Not Noted  |
| Evaluation Period                       | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance applied   | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | Between 0.5 and 5 minutes after test substance applied | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   |
| Controls and Test Standards             | Vehicle   | Not Noted   | Not Noted SDS  |  | Not Noted  |
| Number of Control Eggs                  | 2 eggs  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Replicate Eggs                          | 4 eggs  | 4 eggs  | Not Noted  | 6 eggs   | 6 eggs per concentration   |
| Number of Replicate Experiments         | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | 4  |
| Endpoints Assessed                      | Hyperemia, hemorrhage, coagulation  | Injection, hemorrhage, coagulation  | Hemorrhage, vessel lysis, coagulation  | Not Noted  | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation   |
| Endpoint Evaluation                     | Numerical time-dependent scores for each of the three endpoints. Scoring scheme is noted below.             | Numerical time-dependent scores for each of the three endpoints. Scoring scheme is same as Luepke (1985).   | The starting second that each of the three endpoints is observed is recorded.  | Not Noted  | The starting second that each of the three endpoints is observed is recorded.  |
| Analysis Method                         | Scores are totaled to give a single value (maximum of 21). Mean value of 4 eggs calculated for final value. | Scores are totaled to give a single value (maximum of 21). Mean value of 4 eggs calculated for final value. | Irritation Index is calculated using the formula: (301-see H)/300*5+(301-see L)/300*7=(301-see C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second | Not Noted  | Irritation Index is calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec L)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second |

|                       | Luepke 1985   | Luepke and Kemper (1986) | Kalweit et al. (1987)                     | Reinhardt et al. (1987)                                       | Kalweit et al. (1990)  |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| Classification Scheme | Non Irritation: <0.5; Slight Irritant: 0.5-3.4; Moderate Irritant: 3.5-4.9; Severe Irritant: ≥5 |                          |   | 0.5-3.4; Moderate Irritant: 3.5-4.9;                          | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate Irritation:<br>5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9-21 |
| GLP Compliance?       | Not Noted   | Not Noted                | Not Noted                                 | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Notes                 |   |                          | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for protocol | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for protocol and analysis method |  |
|                       |   |                          |   |   |  |

|  | Lawrence et al. (1990)  | Sterzel et al. (1990)  | van Erp et al. (1990)  | Blein et al. (1991)   | CEC (1991)   | Hagino et al. (1991)  | Gettings et al. (1991)                  |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Hen Strain                                     | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | White Leghorn   | Lohmann Selected<br>Leghorn  | White Leghorn   | Lohmann's Selected White Leghorn<br>LSL |
| Egg Criteria for Use                           | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Weight range of eggs<br>between 50 g and 60 g  | Not Noted   | Not Noted                               |
| Egg Storage (Prior to use)                     | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted                               |
| Incubation Temperature (°C)                    | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | 37.5 <u>+</u> 0.5   | 37.5 <u>+</u> 0.5  | 37.6  | Not Noted                               |
| Relative Humidity (%)                          | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | 62.5 <u>+</u> 7.5   | 62.5 <u>+</u> 7.5  | about 70  | Not Noted                               |
| Egg Rotation?                                  | Yes   | Not Noted  | Yes, once each hour  | Not Noted   | Yes  | Yes, once per hour  | Not Noted                               |
| Checking Egg Viability                         | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Eggs candled on Day 5<br>and every day thereafter;<br>non-viable embryos<br>removed  | Not Noted   | Not Noted                               |
| Incubation Period                              | 10 Days   | 9 Days   | 10 Days  | 10 Days   | 10 Days  | 10 Days   | 10 Days                                 |
| Procedure for Opening Egg                      | Eggshell over the air space was removed with a small rotary cutter on Day 10. Small drop of water placed and spread over the shell membrane to aid in removal of the membrane without damaging the CAM. | above the air chamber with a   | Shell with the attached membrane was removed up to the margin of the air chamber. The inner egg membrane was the eliminated to expose the CAM. | Eggshell pierced in<br>the region of the air<br>chamber to expose<br>CAM. | Egg shell was scratched<br>around the air chamber<br>with a rotating dentist<br>saw blade and then pared<br>off. The inner shell was<br>removed and the CAM<br>was layed open. | Eggshell above air<br>space was removed.<br>Drop of water was<br>placed on shell<br>membrane to avoid<br>capillary bleeding.<br>CAM was exposed<br>using forceps. | Not Noted                               |
| Manipulation of CAM                            | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted                               |
| Investigator Defined Test Substance<br>Classes | Commercial and industrial products  | Fatty acid derivatives   | Chemicals from various chemical classes  | Chemicals from<br>various chemical<br>classes                             | Chemicals from various chemical classes  | Surfactants (cationic,<br>anionic, non ionic,)<br>and amphoteric<br>agents  | Hydro-alcoholic formulations            |
| Total Test Substances Evaluated                | 34  | 10   | 147  | 40  | 21   | 12  | 10                                      |
| Test Substance Quantity or Volume              | 0.2 mL or 0.1 g   | 0.3 mL   | 0.2 mL   | Not Noted   | 0.3 mL or 0.1 g  | 0.2 mL  | Not Noted                               |
| Test Substance Concentrations Tested           | Tested concentrations not noted   | Not Noted. Data presented<br>for tested concentrations of<br>0.5% and 1% | Not Noted  | Undiluted or 10% solution   | 0.1% to 100%   | 10% solution  | Not Noted                               |

|   | Lawrence et al. (1990)  | Sterzel et al. (1990)  | van Erp et al. (1990)   | Blein et al. (1991)   | CEC (1991)   | Hagino et al. (1991)  | Gettings et al. (1991)  |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| Application of Solids to CAM            | Not Noted   | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | Not Noted   | All tested substances<br>appear to be<br>solubilized                                | Placed directly on CAM   | All tested substances<br>appear to be<br>solubilized                    | Not Noted   |
| Preferred Solvent                       | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application? | 20 seconds after application of test<br>substance, rinsed with 5 mL distilled<br>water                      | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Yes, for solids. 20<br>seconds after application<br>of test substance, rinsed<br>with 5 mL warm water or<br>saline solution  | Yes, 20 seconds after<br>test substance<br>applied rinsed with<br>water | Not Noted   |
| Evaluation Period                       | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance applied   | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5<br>minutes after test<br>substance applied                         | Up to 300 seconds after<br>test substance applied  | At 0.5, 2, and 5<br>minutes after test<br>substance applied             | Not Noted   |
| Controls and Test Standards             | Not Noted   | Laureth-8-sulfate  | Negative: blank, Reference<br>Substances: toluene and acetone   | Yes, but test<br>substances used are<br>not noted                                   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Number of Control Eggs                  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | 3 eggs per control or reference<br>substance  | 2 eggs  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Replicate Eggs                          | 6 eggs per test substance   | 6 eggs   | Not Noted   | 6 eggs  | Minimum 6 eggs   | 4 eggs per sample   | Not Noted   |
| Number of Replicate Experiments         | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Endpoints Assessed                      | Injection, hemorrhage, coagulation  | Blood vessels and albumin<br>examined for such effects as<br>hemorrhage, lysis, and<br>coagulation   | Injection, hemorrhage, coagulation  | Hyperemia,<br>hemorrhage,<br>coagulation (in terms<br>of opacity and<br>thrombosis) | Hemorrhage, lysis, and coagulation   | Hyperemia,<br>hemorrhage,<br>coagulation                                | Hemorrhage, vascular lysis, coagulation   |
| Endpoint Evaluation                     | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints.  | The starting second that each of the three endpoints is observed is recorded.  | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints.  | Scored according to<br>Luepke and Kemper<br>(1986) scale                            | The starting second that each of the three endpoints is observed is recorded.  | Numerical time-<br>dependent scores for<br>three endpoints.             | The starting second that each of the three endpoints is observed is recorded.   |
| Analysis Method                         | Scores are totaled to give a single value (maximum of 21). Mean value of 4 eggs calculated for final value. | Reaction Time score is calculated for each egg. Then a ratio of the mean Reaction Time score (for all 6 tested eggs) to the Reaction Time score observed for the reference was calculated. | Scores are totaled to give a single value (maximum of 21). Mean value of 4 eggs calculated for final value. | Not Noted   | Irritation Index is calculated using the formula: (301-sec HJ/300*5+(301-sec LJ/300*7-6301-sec CJ*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second | Not Noted   | Two different analyses were used. Both calculated an irritation index using time (seconds) of appearance of hemorrhage vascular lysis, or coagulation. The differences between the two methods was in the particular calculations used. |

|                       | Lawrence et al. (1990)   | Sterzel et al. (1990)                     | van Erp et al. (1990)  | Blein et al. (1991)   | CEC (1991) | Hagino et al. (1991) | Gettings et al. (1991)                         |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|---|------------|----------------------|--|
| Classification Scheme | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate<br>Irritation: 5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9-<br>21 | Not Noted                                 | Non Irritation: <0.5; Slight<br>Irritant: 0.5-3.4; Moderate<br>Irritant: 3.5-4.9; Severe Irritant:<br>≥5 | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted            | Not Noted                                      |
| GLP Compliance?       | Not Noted  | Not Noted                                 | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted            | Not Noted                                      |
|                       | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for protocol, scoring scheme, and analysis method                                   | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for protocol | Cites Luepke 1985 as basis for protocol  | Cites Luepke and<br>Kemper (1986) as<br>basis for protocol and<br>analysis method |            |                      | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for protocol used |
| Notes                 |  |   |  |   |            |                      |  |

|  | Spielmann et al. (1991)                 | Bagley et al. (1992)  | Rougier et al. (1992)  | de Silva et al. (1992)  | InVittox Protocol (Spielmann and Liebsh<br>1992)  | Hagino et al. (1993)  | Spielmann et al. (1993)   |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Hen Strain                                     | Not Noted                               | White Leghorn   | White Leghorn  | White Leghorn   | White Leghorn (Shaver Starcross 288A)   | White Leghorn   | Not Noted   |
| Egg Criteria for Use                           | Not Noted                               | Weight range of eggs<br>between 50 g and 60<br>g  | Weight range of eggs<br>between 50 g and 60<br>g   | Weighing between 50 g and 60 g  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Egg Storage (Prior to use)                     | Not Noted                               | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Incubation Temperature (°C)                    | Not Noted                               | 37.5 <u>+</u> 0.5   | 37.5 ± 0.5   | 38 <u>+</u> 0.5   | 37.5 ± 0.5  | 37.6  | Not Noted   |
| Relative Humidity (%)                          | Not Noted                               | 62.5 <u>+</u> 7.5   | 62.5 <u>+</u> 7.5  | 60 <u>+</u> 5   | 62.5 <u>+</u> 7.5   | about 70  | Not Noted   |
| Egg Rotation?                                  | Not Noted                               | Yes   | Yes  | Yes   | Yes   | Yes, once per hour  | Not Noted   |
| Checking Egg Viability                         | Not Noted                               | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Eggs candled on Day 9 and non-viable embryos removed  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Incubation Period                              | Not Noted                               | 10 Days   | 10 Days  | 10 Days   | Eggs incubated for 8 days with rotation. After checking for viability on Day 9, viable eggs are placed in incubator with the large end up and incubated without rotation until the next day. Eggs used on Day 10.                           | 10 Days   | Not Noted   |
| Procedure for Opening Egg                      | Not Noted                               | Egg shell around the<br>air pocket was<br>removed with a<br>dental rotary saw                           | Egg shell around the<br>air pocket was<br>removed with a<br>dental rotary saw.<br>Inner membrane is<br>removed to expose<br>CAM. | Egg shell around the air<br>pocket was removed<br>using a rotary saw.<br>Inner membrane is<br>removed to expose<br>CAM. | The air cell is marked with a rotating dentist-saw blade and the section is pared off.  | Egg shell around the<br>air pocket was<br>removed. Drop of<br>water is placed on the<br>shell membrane to<br>avoid capillary<br>bleeding. Then the<br>CAM is exposed. | Not Noted   |
| Manipulation of CAM                            | Not Noted                               | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Membrane is moistened with 0.9% NaCl solution at 37. Eggs placed back into the incubator until ready for use (max. of 30 minutes). When ready for use, the NaCl solution is poured off. Then the egg membrane is removed to expose the CAM. | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Investigator Defined Test Substance<br>Classes | Chemicals from various chemical classes | Chemicals from<br>various chemical<br>classes, commercial<br>products, and<br>personal care<br>products | Surfactants (non<br>ionic, amphoteric,<br>anionic, cationic) and<br>surfactant-based<br>cosmetic<br>preparations                 | Surfactants,<br>unidentified chemicals,<br>and cosmetic<br>formulations   | Chemicals from various chemical classes   | Chemicals from<br>various chemical<br>classes   | Chemicals from various chemical classes   |
| <b>Total Test Substances Evaluated</b>         | 32                                      | 32  | 41   | 101   | 27  | 12  | 136   |
| Test Substance Quantity or Volume              | 0.3 mL                                  | 0.3 mL or 0.1 g   | 0.3 mL   | 0.3 mL  | 0.3 mL  | 0.2 mL or 0.2 g   | Not Noted   |
| Test Substance Concentrations Tested           | 1-100% solutions tested                 | Tested solutions at concentrations that were 10% of those tested <i>in vivo</i>                         | Not Noted  | Tested solutions at concentrations that were 10% of those tested in vivo  | Not Noted   | Undiluted or as noted in manuscript   | 10% solution and threshold<br>concentration (lowest<br>concentration to produce a<br>slight reaction) |

|   | Spielmann et al. (1991)  | Bagley et al. (1992)   | Rougier et al. (1992)   | de Silva et al. (1992)   | InVittox Protocol (Spielmann and Liebsh<br>1992)   | Hagino et al. (1993)  | Spielmann et al. (1993)  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Application of Solids to CAM            | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | All tested substances<br>appear to be<br>solubilized   | Not Noted   | Placed directly on CAM   | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | Placed directly on<br>CAM                                   | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   |
| Preferred Solvent                       | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | 0.9% NaCl or olive oil   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application? | Not Noted  | 20 seconds after test<br>substance applied<br>rinsed with 5 mL<br>warm water   | Not Noted   | For opaque, colored, or<br>solid substances: after<br>20 seconds test<br>substance rinsed with 5<br>mL warm saline | Not Noted  | Yes, after 20 seconds                                       | Insoluble substances: Yes, after 5 minutes   |
| Evaluation Period                       | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5<br>minutes after test<br>substance applied  | At 0.5, 2, and 5<br>minutes after test<br>substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes<br>after test substance<br>applied  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5<br>minutes after test<br>substance applied | Not Noted  |
| Controls and Test Standards             | Not Noted  | Vehicle  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | 1% SDS and 0.1 N NaOH  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Number of Control Eggs                  | Not Noted  | 2 eggs   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | 2 eggs for each control substance  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Replicate Eggs                          | 3 eggs per concentration   | 4 eggs   | 4 eggs  | 4 eggs   | 3 eggs   | 4 eggs  | 3 eggs   |
| Number of Replicate Experiments         | 2  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | 2  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Endpoints Assessed                      | Hemorrhage, coagulation, lysis   | Hyperemia,<br>hemorrhage,<br>coagulation   | Hyperemia,<br>hemorrhage,<br>coagulation  | Hyperemia,<br>hemorrhage,<br>coagulation (opacity<br>and/or thrombosis)  | Hemorrhage, vessel lysis, coagulation  | Hyperemia,<br>hemorrhage,<br>coagulation                    | Not Noted  |
| Endpoint Evaluation                     | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded                   | Numerical time-<br>dependent scores for<br>three endpoints.  | Numerical time-<br>dependent scores for<br>three endpoints.   | Numerical time-<br>dependent scores for<br>three endpoints.  | The starting second that one of the endpoints is observed is recorded. The degree of severity of each endpoint after the 5 minutes is noted. Severity is ranked from 0 (no reaction) to 3 (severe reaction).   | Numerical time-<br>dependent scores for<br>three endpoints. | Not Noted  |
| Analysis Method                         | Irritation Score calculated. Mean Irritation Score is calculated from individual assays. | Scores are totaled to<br>give a single value<br>(maximum of 21).<br>Mean value of 4 eggs<br>calculated for final<br>value. | Scores are totaled to give a single value (maximum of 21). Mean value of 4 eggs calculated for final value. | Scores are totaled to give a single value (maximum of 21). Mean value of 4 eggs calculated for final value.        | Irritation Score (IS; determined at 10% concentration) and Irritation Threshold Concentration (ITC; highest concentration producing a slight reaction during observation period) calculated. Mean IS of 3 eggs calculated for each experiment. Mean value over both experiments calculated for IS and ITC. | Not Noted   | Irritation Score (IS; determined at 10% concentration) and Irritation Threshold Concentration (ITC; lowest concentration producing a slight reaction during observation period) calculated |

|                       | Spielmann et al. (1991)   | Bagley et al. (1992) | Rougier et al. (1992) | de Silva et al. (1992)   | InVittox Protocol (Spielmann and Liebsh<br>1992)   | Hagino et al. (1993)                                  | Spielmann et al. (1993)   |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Classification Scheme | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate Irritation:<br>5-9.9; Strong Irritation: 10-21 | Not Noted            | Not Noted             | Not Noted  | Non/Slight: ITC > 10 and IS <10; Moderate: (1) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS <16 and weak or no reaction noted or (2) ITC > 10 and IS > 16 or (3) ITC > 10 and IS < 16 and severe reaction is noted; Irritant: (1) ITC between 1 and 2.5 and IS <16 or (2) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS > 16 or (3) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS > 16 and severe reaction noted after 5 minutes; Severe: (1) ITC < 1% or (2) ITC between 1 and 2.5% and IS > 16 or (3) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS > 16 and severe reaction noted after 1 minute | Not Noted   | Non/Slight: ITC > 10 and IS <16; Moderate: ITC <10 and IS <16 or ITC >10 and IS 16; Irritan: ITC <2.5 and IS <16 or ITC <10 and IS <16. Severe: ITC < 1% or ITC <2.5% and IS > 16 |
| GLP Compliance?       | Not Noted   | Not Noted            | Not Noted             | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
|                       | Cites Luepke (1985) as modified by<br>Kalweit (1990) as basis for protocol and<br>analysis method             | Kemper (1986) as     | as basis for scoring  | Cites Luepke (1981) as<br>basis for protocol and<br>scoring scheme | Not Noted  | Cites Luepke (1985)<br>as basis for scoring<br>scheme | Cites Luepke (1985) as<br>modified by Kalweit (1990) and<br>Spielmann (1991) as basis for<br>protocol   |
| Notes                 |   |                      |                       |  |  |   |   |

|  | Gettings et al. (1994)   | Vinardell and Macián (1994)  | Balls et al. (1995) (Non-Transparent<br>Substances)   | Balls et al. (1995) (Transparent<br>Substances)   | Kojima et al. (1995)  |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| Hen Strain                                     | White Leghorn  | Leghorn SA31   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Egg Criteria for Use                           | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Egg Storage (Prior to use)                     | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Incubation Temperature (°C)                    | 38   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | 37.6  |
| Relative Humidity (%)                          | 60   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | about 70  |
| Egg Rotation?                                  | Yes  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Yes, once per hour  |
| Checking Egg Viability                         | Candled on Day 9 and non-viable embryos removed                              | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Incubation Period                              | 9 Days   | 10 Days  | 9 Days  | 9 Days  | 10 Days   |
| Procedure for Opening Egg                      | Eggshell was scratched around the air cells and a small aperture was opened. | Egg shell was scratched around the air<br>cell by a dentist's rotary saw and then<br>pared off. After removal of the inner<br>membrane, the CAM was exposed. | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | A portion of the egg shell was<br>removed and a drop of water was<br>placed onto the shell membrane to<br>avoid bleeding. Then the CAM<br>was exposed with forceps. |
| Manipulation of CAM                            | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Investigator Defined Test Substance<br>Classes | Oil/water -based personal care<br>formulations                               | Commercial disinfectants   | Chemicals selected from the ECETOC database (acids, acyl halides, alcohols, aldehye, alkalis, esters, hetercyclics, hydrocarbons, inorganic chemicals, ketones, organophosphates, pesticides, surfactants, misc.) | Chemicals selected from the ECETOC database (acids, acyl halides, alcohols, aldehye, alkalis, esters, hetercyclics, hydrocarbons, inorganic chemicals, ketones, organophosphates, pesticides, surfactants, misc.) | Surfactants, solvents, formaldehyde   |
| Total Test Substances Evaluated                | 18   | 6  | 59  | 59  | 24  |
| Test Substance Quantity or Volume              | 0.3 mL   | 0.3 mL   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | 0.2 mL  |
| Test Substance Concentrations Tested           | 3 concentrations tested: threshold<br>concentration, 10% solution, undiluted | Diluted or undiluted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | 10% solution  |

|   | Gettings et al. (1994)  | Vinardell and Macián (1994)  | Balls et al. (1995) (Non-Transparent<br>Substances)   | Balls et al. (1995) (Transparent<br>Substances)  | Kojima et al. (1995)   |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Application of Solids to CAM            | All tested substances appear to be solubilized  | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   |
| Preferred Solvent                       | Not Noted   | Distilled water  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application? | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | After 3 minute exposure Not Noted   |  | Yes, after 20 seconds test<br>substance was rinsed with water  |
| Evaluation Period                       | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | Within 30 seconds of rinsing  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance rinsed   |
| Controls and Test Standards             | Not Noted   | 0.1 M NaOH, 1% SDS, 0.9% NaCl,<br>distilled water  | 5% Texapon AV (internal reference standard)   | 5% Texapon AV (internal reference standard)  | Not Noted  |
| Number of Control Eggs                  | Not Noted   | 2 eggs per substance   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Replicate Eggs                          | 3 eggs per concentration tested   | 6 eggs   | 6 eggs  | 6 eggs   | 4 eggs   |
| Number of Replicate Experiments         | 2   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Endpoints Assessed                      | Hemorrhage, coagulation, lysis  | Hemorrhage, vasoconstriction, coagulation  | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation  | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation   | Hyperemia, hemorrhage, coagulation   |
| Endpoint Evaluation                     | Seconds for the three endpoints recorded (see Kalweit, 1990)  | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded   | Endpoints scored from 0 (no reaction) to 3 (strong reaction)  | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded   | Not Noted  |
| Analysis Method                         | Calculation of an Irritation Score for each egg. Mean value of individual Irritation Scores calculated. | Irritancy Potential calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec v)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, V=vasconstriction; C=Coagulation of protein or blood; sec=starting second | Calculation of "S Score". "S Score" is calculated using the most sensitive endpoint endpoint end change from chemical to chemical). The scores recorded for the most sensitive endpoint are summarized for the 6 eggs. (Maximum for 6 eggs is 18) | Computer program calculates an Irritation Index. Irritation Index Is used to calculate a "Q Score". "Q Score" is a comparison of the Irritation Index of a test chemical with that of the reference chemical. If the effect of test chemical is identical to reference, Q is 1.0. If effect of test chemical is less irritating, Q is lower. If effect of test chemical is more irritating, Q is higher. | Score was calculated based on the time of onset for each endpoint.  Mean value of 4 eggs calculated. |

|                       | Gettings et al. (1994)   | Vinardell and Macián (1994)   | Balls et al. (1995) (Non-Transparent<br>Substances)   | Balls et al. (1995) (Transparent<br>Substances)   | Kojima et al. (1995)   |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Classification Scheme | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate Irritation:<br>5-9.9; Strong Irritation: 10-21  | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate<br>Irritation: 5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9<br>21 | Non Irritation: $S < 6$ ; Moderately Irritating: $6 \le S < 15$ ; Severely Irritating: $S \ge 15$ | Non Irritating: $Q < 1.5$ ; Moderately Irritating: $1.5 < Q < 2.0$ ; Severely Irritating: $Q < 2.0$ | Not Noted  |
| GLP Compliance?       | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Yes   | Yes   | Not Noted  |
|                       | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for scoring scheme  | Cites Ergatt/Frame Data Bank (1990) as basis for protocol and analysis method                                   |   |   | Cites Luepke (1985) and Luepke<br>and Wallat (1985) as basis for<br>scoring scheme and analysis<br>method. |
|                       | To study the effects of slow acting materials, CAM scored 15 and 30 minutes after application on range from 0 (no reactions) to 3 (strong reactions) |   |   |   |  |

|  | Spielmann (1995)   | Macian et al. (1996)   | Gilleron et al. (1996)   | Gettings et al. (1996)<br>(HET-CAM I, II)                                       | Gettings et al. (1996) (HET-<br>CAM III)   |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Hen Strain                                     | White Leghorn (Shaver Starcross 288A)  | Leghorn SA31   | White Essex  | Lohmann's Selected<br>White Leghorn   | White Leghorn  |
| Egg Criteria for Use                           | Weighing 50-60 g. Eggs are candled and non-<br>viable eggs removed   | Not Noted  | Eggs were 7 days old prior to start of incubation and weighed $60 \pm 5$ g   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Egg Storage (Prior to use)                     | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Incubation Temperature (°C)                    | 37.5 ± 0.5   | Not Noted  | 37.0 ± 0.5   | Not Noted   | 38 ± 0.5   |
| Relative Humidity (%)                          | 62.5 <u>+</u> 7.5  | Not Noted  | 62.5 <u>+</u> 1.5  | Not Noted   | 60 <u>+</u> 5  |
| Egg Rotation?                                  | Yes, for 8 days  | Not Noted  | Yes, with large ends upward for 9 days   | Not Noted   | Yes  |
| Checking Egg Viability                         | Eggs candled on Day 9 and non-viable eggs<br>removed. Eggs replaced in incubator with large<br>ends up but were not rotated  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Candled on Day 9 and returned to incubator in vertical position                              |
| Incubation Period                              | 10 Days  | 10 Days  | 10 Days  | 10 Days   | 10 Days  |
| Procedure for Opening Egg                      | Air cell is marked using a rotating dentist-saw blade. The egg shell is then pared off.  | Egg shell was scratched around the air cell by a dentist's rotary saw and pared off. After removal of inner egg membrane, the CAM was exposed. | Eggs were candled and non-viable eggs were discarded. The airspace delimited by the inner membrane at the large end of the egg was marked. The eggshell was removed using a dentist's rotating saw blade. The inner membrane was moistened with 1.5-2.0 mL of 0.9% NaCl and the egg was returned to the incubator (at 37) for a maximum of 20 mins. After incubation, the NaCl solution was removed, using a vacuum pump, and the inner membrane was removed with forceps. | g Not Noted   | Shell and inner shell<br>membrane were removed<br>around the area defined by<br>the air cell |
| Manipulation of CAM                            | Membrane is moistened with 0.9% NaCl solution at 37. Eggs are then placed back in to the incubator until ready for use (maximum of 30 minutes). When ready for use the NaCl solution is poured off and the membrane is removed with tapered forceps. | Not Noted  | A test substance applicator (TSA), which is comprised of<br>a perion mesh (pore diameter = 63 micron) locked<br>between two Teflon rings, was placed on the CAM  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Investigator Defined Test Substance<br>Classes | Not Applicable   | Polyoxyethylene non ionic surfactants  | Chemicals from various chemical classes  | Surfactant-based<br>personal care<br>formulations                               | Surfactant-based personal care formulations  |
| Total Test Substances Evaluated                | Not Applicable   | 9  | 46   | 25  | 25   |
| Test Substance Quantity or Volume              | 0.3 mL (for insoluble solids: put some grains onto CAM to cover approximately half the surface)  | 0.3 mL   | 0.3 mL or 0.3 g of test substance placed inside the TSA  | 0.3 mL  | 0.1 mL   |
| Test Substance Concentrations Tested           | 10% solution and several additional concentrations as determined by investigator   | Different concentrations   | Undiluted  | 3 concentrations tested:<br>threshold concentration,<br>10% solution, undiluted | 10% solution   |

|   | Spielmann (1995)  | Macian et al. (1996)  | Gilleron et al. (1996)   | Gettings et al. (1996)<br>(HET-CAM I, II)  | Gettings et al. (1996) (HET-<br>CAM III)                                     |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Application of Solids to CAM            | All tested substances appear to be solubilized  | All tested substances appear to be solubilized  | Placed inside TSA  | All test substances<br>appear to be in liquid<br>form  | All test substances appear to be in liquid form                              |
| Preferred Solvent                       | 0.9% NaCl or olive oil  | Soluble Substances: 0.9% NaCl; Insoluble Substances: carboxymethylcellulose   | 0.9% NaCl  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application? | For insoluble test chemical: after 5 minutes rinse off with NaCl  | Not Noted   | TSA (which contains the test substance) is removed after 20 seconds  | Not Noted  | After 20 seconds, with a saline rinse  |
| Evaluation Period                       | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance rinsed                         |
| Controls and Test Standards             | 1% SDS and 0.1 N NaOH   | 1% SDS and 0.1 N NaOH   | Positive Controls: benzalkonium chloride, dimethylformamide, imidazole   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Number of Control Eggs                  | 2 eggs per standard   | 2 eggs per standard   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Replicate Eggs                          | 3 eggs  | 6 eggs  | 3  | 3 eggs per concentration tested  | Not Noted  |
| Number of Replicate Experiments         | 2   | Not Noted   | 3  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Endpoints Assessed                      | Hemorrhage, vascular lysis, coagulation   | Hemorrhage, vasoconstriction, coagulation   | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation   | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation   | Dilation, hemorrhage, coagulation  |
| Endpoint Evaluation                     | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded. Additionally, the severity of the reaction is graded (between 0 and 3) after 5 minutes of exposure to test substance.   | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded  | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded   | The starting second that<br>each of the endpoints is<br>observed is recorded (see<br>Kalweit 1990)   | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints.                         |
| Analysis Method                         | Irritation Score (IS; determined at 10% concentration) and Irritation Threshold Concentration (ITC; lowest concentration producing a slight reaction during observation period) calculated. Mean IS of 3 eggs calculated for each experiment. Mean value over both experiments calculated for IS and ITC. | Irritancy Potential calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec v)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, V=vasoconstriction; C=Coagulation of protein or blood; sec=starting second. Mean value and SEM of 6 separate experiments are calculated. | Irritation Index calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec L)/300*7-(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Vessel Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second. Mean of 3 assays were calculated. Reproducibility also was assessed. | Mean Irritation Score<br>(IS; determined at 10%<br>concentration) of 3 eggs<br>is calculated. The<br>Irritation Threshold<br>Concentration (ITC;<br>lowest concentration<br>producing a slight<br>reaction during<br>observation period) is<br>calculated. | Time-dependent scores were used to calculate a single value (maximum of 21). |

|                       | Spielmann (1995)  | Macian et al. (1996)  | Gilleron et al. (1996)                | Gettings et al. (1996)<br>(HET-CAM I, II)                        | Gettings et al. (1996) (HET-<br>CAM III)   |
|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Classification Scheme | Non/Slight: ITC > 10 and IS <10; Moderate: (1) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS <16 and weak or no reaction noted or (2) ITC > 10 and IS <16 or (3) ITC > 10 and IS < 16 and severe reaction is noted; Irritant: (1) ITC between 1 and 2.5 and IS < 16 or (2) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS > 16 or (3) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS > 16 or (3) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS > 16 and severe reaction noted after 5 minutes; Severe: (1) ITC < 1% or (2) ITC between 1 and 2.5% and IS > 16 or (3) ITC between 2.5 and 10 and IS < 16 and severe reaction noted after 1 minutes | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight Irritation: 1-4.9;<br>Moderate Irritation: 5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9-21 | Non Irritant: 0-4.9; Irritant: 5.0-21 | Irritant (According to FHSA): IS $\geq$ 5.1 or IS/ITC $\geq$ 3.0 | Irritant (According to FHSA): Score ≥ 4.83 |
| GLP Compliance?       | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted                             | Not Noted  | Not Noted                                  |
| Notes                 |   |   |                                       |  |  |

March 2006

|  | Spielmann et al. (1996)                 | Brantom et al. (1997)  | Budai et al. (1997)   | Gilleron et al. (1997)  | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-1)                                   | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-1, Laboratory A) |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Hen Strain                                     | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | White Leghorn (Shaver Starcross 288)  | White Essex   | White Leghorn   | White Leghorn                                       |
| Egg Criteria for Use                           | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | Eggs were candled and non viable eggs<br>were discarded   | Eggs were 7 days old  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Egg Storage (Prior to use)                     | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Incubation Temperature (°C)                    | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | 37  | 37 <u>+</u> 0.5   | 38  | 38  |
| Relative Humidity (%)                          | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | 60-70   | 52.5 <u>+</u> 2.5   | 60  | 60  |
| Egg Rotation?                                  | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | Yes, for 8 days   | Not Noted   | Yes   | Yes   |
| Checking Egg Viability                         | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | Eggs were candled on the 9th day and non viable eggs were discarded.  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Incubation Period                              | Not Noted                               | 9 Days   | 9 Days, then eggs were candled and<br>non-viable eggs were discarded. Eggs<br>were placed in incubator (but not<br>rotated) until Day 10  | 10 Days   | 9 Days  | 9 Days  |
| Procedure for Opening Egg                      | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | The air cell of eggs were marked and the section of shell was removed with scissors. The membrane was moistened with 0.9% NaCl and eggs were placed in incubator until ready for use. | Not Noted   | Egg shells were opened at the air cell                                  | Egg shells were opened at the air cell              |
| Manipulation of CAM                            | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | A test substance applicator<br>(TSA) was placed on the CAM    | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Investigator Defined Test Substance<br>Classes | Chemicals from various chemical classes | Substances representative of cosmetic ingredients and finished personal product formulations | Pesticides  | Chemicals from various chemical classes                       | Inorganic chemicals,<br>aliphatic organics,<br>aromatics, heterocyclics | See Gettings et al. lists                           |
| Total Test Substances Evaluated                | 200                                     | 55   | 4   | 60  | 133   | 53  |
| Test Substance Quantity or Volume              | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | 0.3 mL  | 0.3 mL or 0.3 g of test<br>substance placed inside the<br>TSA | 0.3 mL  | 0.3 mL  |
| Test Substance Concentrations Tested           | 1-100% solutions tested                 | Not Noted  | 1, 10, and 100%   | Not Noted   | Undiluted and 10% concentration   | Undiluted and 10% concentration                     |

|   | Spielmann et al. (1996)  | Brantom et al. (1997)  | Budai et al. (1997)  | Gilleron et al. (1997)  | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-1)  | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-1, Laboratory A) |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Application of Solids to CAM            | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | All test substances appear to be in liquid form  | All tested substances appear to be solubilized                         | Placed inside TSA   | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | Not Noted   |
| Preferred Solvent                       | 0.9% NaCl or olive oil   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | 0.9% NaCl or olive oil   | Not Noted   |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application? | Yes, after 5 minutes (for substances that were insoluble in eater or oil and were colored).  | Non-trasnparent substances were rinsed off of the CAM after 30 seconds   | Not Noted  | TSA (which contains the test<br>substance) is removed after 20<br>seconds   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   |
| Evaluation Period                       | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | Up to 300 seconds for transparent test susbtances  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied                         | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied  | Up to 300 seconds after<br>test substance applied  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied      |
| Controls and Test Standards             | Not Noted  | 5% solution of Texapon ASV   | Standards: 1% SDS and 0.1M NaOH; Control: 0.9% NaCl Not Noted          |   | 0.1 N NaOH, 0.1% SDS,<br>0.9% NaCl   | 0.1 N NaOH, 0.1% SDS, 0.9%<br>NaCl                  |
| Number of Control Eggs                  | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | 2 eggs per control and standard  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   |
| Replicate Eggs                          | Not Noted  | 6 eggs   | 6 eggs for each concentration  | Not Noted   | 3 eggs   | 3 eggs  |
| Number of Replicate Experiments         | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | 4  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   |
| Endpoints Assessed                      | Hemorrhage, coagulation, lysis   | Hemorrhage, coagulation, lysis   | Hemorrhage, vascular lysis, coagulation                                | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation  | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation   | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation                      |
| Endpoint Evaluation                     | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded   | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded for transparent substances and quality of the effects is determined for the nontransparent substances   | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded  | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded   | Not Noted   |
| Analysis Method                         | Irritation Score calculated ( (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec L)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second) for 10% solution calculated (IS10). Irritation Threshold (ITC; lowest concentration of a test substance to induce an irritant reaction on the CAM) calculated. | Computer program calculates an Irritation Index. Irritation Index Is used to calculate a "Q Score". "Q Score" is a comparison of the Irritation Index of a test chemical with that of the reference chemical. If the effect of test chemical is identical to reference, Q is 1.0. If effect of test chemical is resistantiating, Q is lower. If effect of test chemical is more irritating, Q is higher. Calculation of "S Score" "S Score" is calculated using the most sensitive endpoint (endpoint can change from chemical to chemical). The scores recorded for the most sensitive endpoint are summarized for the 6 eggs. (Maximum for 6 eggs is 18) | Not Noted  | Irritation Index calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec L)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Vessel Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second. Mean value and SEM of are calculated. | Irritation Score calculated using the formula: (301-set H)/300*5+(301-set L)/300*7=(301-set C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second. | Not Noted   |

|                       | Spielmann et al. (1996)  | Brantom et al. (1997)  | Budai et al. (1997)   | Gilleron et al. (1997)  | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-1) | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-1, Laboratory A) |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Classification Scheme | IS10 < 16; <b>Irritant (R36</b> ): (1) ITC < 10 and IS10 > 16; <b>Irritant (R36</b> ): (1) ITC < 10 and IS10 > 16 or (2) ITC < 2.5 and IS10 < 16; <b>Severe (R41</b> ): (1) ITC < 1% or (2) ITC  | Q-SCORE: Slightly Irritating: $Q \le 0.8$ ; Moderately Irritating: $0.8 < Q < 1.2$ ; Irritating: $1.2 < -Q < 2.0$ ; Severely Irritating: $Q < 2.0$ ; S-SCORE: Slightly Irritating $S < 6$ ; Moderately Irritating: $6 < S < 12$ ; Irritating: $12 < S \ge 16$ ; Severely Irritating $S > 16$ | No Irritation: 0-0.9; Weak Irritation:<br>1-4.9; Moderate Irritation: 5-8.9;<br>Severe Irritation: 9-21 | Non Irritant: 0-4.9; Irritant: 5.0-21                               | Not Noted                             | Not Noted   |
| GLP Compliance?       | Yes  | Yes  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted                             | Not Noted   |
| Notes                 | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for protocol. Cites Kalweit (1990) and Spielmann (1991) as publishing the protocol used. Cites the standard protocol for the test method as InVittox Protocol.  |  |   | Cites Gilleron 1996 as basis<br>for protocol and analysis<br>method |                                       |   |
|                       | Nine additional endpoints were conducted using the raw data and the IS and IT scores in this analysis. Of these the endpoints evaluated, that best correlated with in vivo classification was mtc10 (mean detection time for appearance of coagulation when using a 10% solution). |  |   |   |                                       |   |

|  | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-II) | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-III)  | Vives et al. (1997)   | Doucet et al. (1999)  | Hagino et al. (1999)  | Lönnroth et al. (1999)   |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Hen Strain                                     | White Leghorn                          | White Leghorn  | Leghorn SA31  | White Leghorn   | White Leghorn   | Not Noted  |
| Egg Criteria for Use                           | Not Noted                              | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Eggs weighed between 50-60 g  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Egg Storage (Prior to use)                     | Not Noted                              | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Incubation Temperature (°C)                    | 37.8                                   | 37.5 <u>+</u> 1  | Not Noted   | 37.5 <u>+</u> 1   | 37.6  | 38   |
| Relative Humidity (%)                          | 55%                                    | 40-60  | Not Noted   | 60 <u>+</u> 5   | about 70  | Not Noted  |
| Egg Rotation?                                  | Not Noted                              | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Yes, eggs were placed on their<br>long axis   | Yes, once an hour   | Not Noted  |
| Checking Egg Viability                         | Not Noted                              | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not noted   | Not Noted   | Not Noted  |
| Incubation Period                              | 9 Days                                 | 10 Days  | 10 Days   | 10 Days   | 10 Days   | 9 Days   |
| Procedure for Opening Egg                      | Not Noted                              | Not Noted  | Egg shell was scratched around the air<br>cell by a dentist's rotary saw and pared<br>off. After removal of inner egg<br>membrane, the CAM was exposed. | Eggshell around the airspace was<br>removed. 5 mL of 0.9% NaCl<br>was placed on the inner<br>membrane and then the CAM<br>was exposed | Portion of the egg shell above the air space was removed.   | Egg shell above the air cell was opened using a dental drill saw blade and forceps. The shell membrane was moistened with 0.9% NaCl solution at 37 degrees Celsius. The NaCl was aspirated, the shell membrane removed, and CAM exposed. |
| Manipulation of CAM                            | Not Noted                              | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Not noted   | Drop of water is placed on the<br>membrane (to avoid capillary<br>bleeding). A silicone rubber ring is<br>placed on the CAM.  | Eggs were examined using a microscope. Photo-micrographs were taken 2 minutes after application of each material.  |
| Investigator Defined Test Substance<br>Classes | Surfactants and formulations           | Inorganics, aliphatics,<br>alicyclics, heterocyclic,<br>alkyl derivatives, polymers  | Surfactants derived from lysine   | Skin-care products, sunscreen products, surfactant-based products, and alcoholic products   | Surfactant, polyols, color additives,<br>organic salts, PABA derivative, esters,<br>inorganic chemicals, alcohols, amines,<br>alkanolamines, carboxylic acids               | Dental polymer products  |
| Total Test Substances Evaluated                | 42                                     | 97   | 6   | 40  | 39  | 8  |
| Test Substance Quantity or Volume              | 0.3 mL                                 | 0.3 mL   | 0.3 mL  | 0.3 mL  | 0.2 mL (placed inside the rubber ring<br>on the CAM). Solids (0.2 g) are<br>reduced to a fine powder with a No.<br>200 sieve and placed inside a rubber<br>ring on the CAM. | 0.1-0.2 mL   |
| Test Substance Concentrations Tested           | Not Noted                              | Solids and liquids were<br>generally tested undiluted;<br>turbid materials were<br>diluted to concentrations<br>that allowed observation of<br>the CAM | Different concentrations  | Surfactant products: 3% concentration; Alcoholic products: 10% alcohol concentration; Emulsion type products: undiluted               | 0.1, 1, 10, and 100%  | Not Noted  |

March 2006

|   | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-II)   | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-III)  | Vives et al. (1997)   | Doucet et al. (1999)   | Hagino et al. (1999)                                  | Lönnroth et al. (1999)  |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Application of Solids to CAM            | All tested substances appear<br>to be solubilized  | Not Noted  | All tested substances appear to be solubilized  | Not Noted  | Placed inside rubber ring                             | All tested substances appear to be solubilized  |
| Preferred Solvent                       | Not Noted  | Water or solvents  | Distilled water   | Sterilized water   | Not Noted   | Cell culture media (MEM, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 IU/mL penicillin, 100 mg/ml Streptomycin, 5% FBS). Solutions were incubated for 24 hrs in a water bath at 37 degrees. The suspensions were centrifuged and the sunermatants annlied. |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application? | Not Noted  | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | Yes, 20 seconds after test<br>substance application it was<br>rinsed with 5 mL of warm saline<br>by irrigation of the tilted egg | Yes, after 20 seconds with distilled water            | Not Noted   |
| Evaluation Period                       | Up to 300 seconds after test<br>substance applied  | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance applied  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied  | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance applied  | At 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes after test substance applied | Up to 240 seconds after test substance applied  |
| Controls and Test Standards             | Water, other additional<br>controls depend upon the<br>class of chemicals being<br>evaluated | Not Noted  | 1% SDS and 0.1 N NaOH   | Positive control: 0.3% SDS;<br>Negative control: saline solution   | Not Noted   | Positive: 0.1N NaOH; Negative: 0.9%<br>NaCl   |
| Number of Control Eggs                  | l egg per control  | Not Noted  | 2 eggs per standard   | 2 eggs per control   | Not Noted   | Not Noted   |
| Replicate Eggs                          | 4 eggs   | 6 eggs   | 6 eggs  | 4 eggs for each test sample  | 4 eggs  | 3 eggs  |
| Number of Replicate Experiments         | 1 assay with 4 eggs or 2<br>assays with 2 eggs   | Not Noted  | Not Noted   | 1  | Not Noted   | 2   |
| Endpoints Assessed                      | Hyperemia, hemorrhage, coagulation   | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation   | Hemorrhage, vasoconstriction, coagulation   | Hyperemia, hemorrhage, coagulation   | Hyperemia, hemorrhage, coagulation                    | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation  |
| Endpoint Evaluation                     | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints.   | The starting second that each endpoint is observed is recorded. Additionally, the endpoint score "S" is determined at 0.5, 1, 3, or 5 mins   | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded  | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints.   | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints.  | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded  |
| Analysis Method                         | Time-dependent scores were used to calculate a single value (maximum of 21).                 | "S Score" is calculated using scores recorded for the most sensitive endpoint are summarized for the 6 eggs. (Maximum for 6 eggs is 18); "Q Score" is a comparison of the Irritation Score of a test chemical with that of the reference chemical. | Irritancy Potential calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec v)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, V=vasoconstriction; C=Coagulation of protein or blood; sec=starting second. Mean value and SEM of 6 separate experiments are calculated. | Mean of the calculated scores were determined for each test substance.   | Not Noted   | Irritation Score calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec L)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second   |

|                       | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-II) | Spielmann et al. (1997)<br>(HETCAM-III) | Vives et al. (1997)  | Doucet et al. (1999)   | Hagino et al. (1999)                          | Lönnroth et al. (1999)  |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Classification Scheme | Not Noted                              | Not Noted                               | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate<br>Irritation: 5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9-<br>21 | Not noted  | Not Noted                                     | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate<br>Irritation: 5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9<br>21 |
| GLP Compliance?       | Not Noted                              | Not Noted                               | Not Noted  | Not noted  | Not Noted                                     | Not Noted   |
|                       |  |   | Cites Ergatt/Frame Data Bank (1990)  | Cites Luepke (1985) as basis for protocol, scoring scheme, and analysis method | Cites Luepke 1985 as basis for scoring method | Cites Kalweit (1990) as basis of protocol   |
| Notes                 |  |   |  |  |   |   |

|  | Schlage et al. (1999)   | Steiling et al. (1999)  | Budai and Várnagy (2000)   | Djabari et al. (2002)                                |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Application of Solids to CAM   | Not Applicable  | Amount sufficient to cover at least 25% of the CAM was applied  | All tested substances appear to be solubilized   | All tested substances appear to be solubilized       |
| Preferred Solvent  | Not Applicable  | Not Noted   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Rinse after Test Substance Application?  | e after Test Substance Application?  Not Noted  For non-transparent substances, rinsed off after 30 seconds                     |   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
| Evaluation Period  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied  | Up to 300 seconds for transparent substances and  | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied   | Up to 300 seconds after test substance applied       |
| Controls and Test Standards  | PBS   | Texapon ASV   | 0.9% NaCl; solution of 1% SDS and<br>0.1 M NaOH  | Not Noted  |
| Number of Control Eggs   | Not Noted   | 6 eggs  | 2 eggs for each substance  | Not Noted  |
| Replicate Eggs   | 3 to 4 eggs for each dose   | 6 eggs  | 6 eggs   | Not Noted  |
| Number of Replicate Experiments  | Not Noted   | Not Noted   | 4  | Not Noted  |
| Endpoints Assessed   | Injection, hemorrhage, coagulation (IHC) or hemorrhage, vessel lysis, coagulation (HVC)   | Hemorrhage, lysis, coagulation  | Hemorrhage, vessel lysis, coagulation  | Hyperemia, hemorrhage, coagulation                   |
| Endpoint Evaluation  | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints and the starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded. | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded for transparent substances and quality of the effects is determined for the nontransparent substances  | The starting second that each of the endpoints is observed is recorded   | Numerical time-dependent scores for three endpoints. |
| A cumulative discontinuous irritation (maximum value of 21) calculated fo and HVC. Additionally, a continuous Irritation Score calculated using the formulation: (301-sec 1)/300*5+(301-sec 1)/300*5+(301-sec 1)/300*5+(301-sec 1)/300*5+(301-sec 1)/300*5+(301-sec 1)/300*7=(301-sec 1)/300*5+(301-sec 1)/300*7=(301-sec 1)/300*5+(301-sec 1)/3 |   | Computer program calculates an Irritation Index. Irritation Index Is used to calculate a "Q Score". "Q Score" is a comparison of the Irritation Index of a test chemical with that of the reference chemical. If the effect of test chemical is identical to reference, Q is 1.0. If effect of test chemical is less irritating, Q is lower. If effect of test chemical is more irritating, Q is higher. Calculation of "S Score". "S Score" is calculated using the most sensitive endpoint (endpoint can change from chemical to chemical). The scores recorded for the most sensitive endpoint are summarized for the 6 eggs. (Maximum for 6 eggs is 18) | Irritation Index calculated using the formula: (301-sec H)/300*5+(301-sec L)/300*7=(301-sec C)*9; where H=Hemorrhage, L=Vessel Lysis; C=Coagulation; sec=starting second | Not Noted  |

|                       | Schlage et al. (1999) | Steiling et al. (1999)  | Budai and Várnagy (2000)   | Djabari et al. (2002)  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Classification Scheme |                       | Q-SCORE: Slightly Irritating: $Q \le 0.8$ ;<br>Moderately Irritating: $0.8 < Q < 1.2$ ; Irritating: $1.2 < Q < 2.0$ ; Severely Irritating: $Q < 2.0$ ; S-SCORE: Slightly Irritating $S < 6$ ; Moderately Irritating: $6 < S < 12$ ; Irritating: $12 < S > 16$ ; Severely Irritating $16 < S < 16$ ; Irritating: | Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate<br>Irritation: 5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9 | Practically None: 0-0.9; Slight<br>Irritation: 1-4.9; Moderate<br>Irritation: 5-8.9; Strong Irritation: 9-<br>21 |
| GLP Compliance?       |                       | Yes   | Not Noted  | Not Noted  |
|                       |                       |   |  | Cites Luepke 1985 and Luepke and<br>Kemper 1986 as basis for protocol and<br>scoring scheme                      |
| Notes                 |                       |   |  |  |

Abbreviations: CAM = Chorioallantoic membrane, ECETOC = European Centre for Ecotoxicology and Toxicology of Chemicals, FHSA = Federal Hazardous Substances Act (1964), IS = Irritation score, IT = Irritation threshold concentration, NaCl = Sodium chloride, NaOH = Sodium hydroxide, PBS = Phosphate buffered saline, SDS = Sodium dodecyl sulfate, Sec = seconds, TSA = Test substance applicator.